IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CRIMINAL NO. 13-804 (CCC)

PEDRO LUIS MARTINEZ-MEDINA,

Defendant.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Defendant Pedro Luis Martínez-Medina was charged in a One Count Information wherein from on or about September 16, 2013, in the District of Puerto Rico, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, the defendant herein, being an alien previously deported from the United States, did knowingly enter into the United States, without obtaining, prior to his re-embarkation at a place outside the United States, the express consent from the Attorney General of the United States, or his successor, the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, pursuant to Title 6, <u>United States Code</u>, Sections 202(3), 202(4), and 557, to reapply for admission into the United States. All in violation of Title 8, <u>United States Code</u>, Section 1326(a)(2).

On November 6, 2013, defendant appeared before this Magistrate Judge since the Rule 11 hearing was referred by the court. Defendant was provided with the Waiver of Indictment and a Waiver of Right to Trial by Jury, which he signed and agreed upon voluntarily after examination in open court, under oath.

Defendant indicated and confirmed his intention to plead guilty to the only count of the Information, upon being advised of his right to have said proceedings before a district

Criminal No. 13-804 (CCC)

Report and Recommendation

Page No. 2

judge of this court.¹ Upon verifying through defendant's statement his age, education and

any relevant aspect as to the use of medication, drugs, alcohol or substance dependency,

and psychological or psychiatric condition, to ascertain his capacity and ability to

understand, answer and comprehend the interactive colloquy with this Magistrate Judge,

a determination was made as to defendant's competency and ability to understand the

proceedings.2

Having further advised defendant of the charge contained in above-stated the only

count of the Information, he was examined and verified as being correct that he had

consulted with his counsel AFPD Carmen Coral Rodríguez-Morales and AFPD Víctor

González, from the Federal Public Defender's Office, prior to the hearing to enter a plea of

guilty, that he was satisfied with the services provided by his legal representatives and had

time to discuss with them all aspects of the case, insofar, among other things, regarding the

hearing to enter the plea, the consent to proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge,

the content of the Information and Waiver of Indictment, and charges therein, his

constitutional rights, and the consequences of the waiver of same.

Defendant was specifically apprised by this Magistrate Judge that, by entering a plea

of guilty to the charges specified, he was waiving his right to a public, speedy, and a trial by

jury constituted by twelve jurors who have to unanimously agree to a verdict. He was also

waiving his right to be presumed innocent and for the government to meet the obligation

¹ The form entitled Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge in a Felony Case for Pleading Guilty (Rule 11, Fed.R.Crim.P.) and Waiver of Jury Trial, signed and consented by both parties is made part of the record.

² Defendant indicated he is taking Ampicillin for a teeth infection. The intake of said medication does not affect him to understand the proceedings.

Criminal No. 13-804 (CCC)

Report and Recommendation

pre-sentence report.

Page No. 3

of establishing his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Furthermore, he was waiving his right during said trial to confront the witnesses who were to testify against him and be able to cross-examine them, through counsel at said trial, as well as present evidence on his behalf. He was also waiving the right to compel the attendance of witnesses and that subpoenas be issued to have them appear in court to testify. Defendant was specifically apprised of his right to take the stand and testify, if he so decided, or not to testify, and no inference or decision as to his guilt could be made from the fact if he decides not to testify. Defendant was also explained his right not to incriminate himself; that upon such a waiver of all above-discussed rights a judgment of guilty and his sentence were to be based on his plea of guilty, and he would be sentenced by the judge after considering the information contained in a

As to all the above, defendant provided an individualized and positive acknowledgment of each and every waiver and, with the assistance of his counsel, AFPD González, indicated he freely and voluntarily waived those rights and understood the consequences. During all this colloquy, defendant was made aware that he could freely request from this Magistrate Judge any additional clarification, repetition, or ask questions and that he may consult with his attorney at any given time as to any issue.

Having ascertained directly from defendant that he had not been induced in any way to plead guilty, that no one had forced him in any way to plead guilty, nor that he had been offered any reward or any other thing of value to get him to plead guilty, the documents entitled "Plea Agreement (Pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(B) FRCP)" and "Plea Agreement

Criminal No. 13-804 (CCC)

Report and Recommendation

Page No. 4

Supplement" were shown to defendant, verifying his signature and initials on each and every page.

Defendant expressed his understanding of the penalties prescribed by statute for the count charged in the Information and to which he was pleading guilty which is a term of imprisonment of not more than two (2) years, a fine not greater than two hundred and fifty thousand (\$250,000.00) dollars, and a term of supervised release of no more than one (1) year.

Defendant shall pay a special monetary assessment of one hundred dollars (\$100.00), per count, as required by Title 18, <u>United States Code</u>, Section 3013(a).

The above-captioned parties' estimate and agreement appear on page three, paragraph seven of the Agreement, regarding the sentencing guideline calculations were further elaborated and explained. For Count One it is defendant's understanding that the Base Offense Level, is of Eight (8) for defendant unlawfully entered or remained in the United States illegally, pursuant to USSG §2L1.2(a). A downward adjustment of four (4) levels is agreed for defendant's participation in the Fast-Track program, an early disposition program, pursuant to USSG § 5K3.1. A reduction of two (2) levels is warranted for acceptance of responsibility, pursuant to USSG §3E1.1(a) & (b). Accordingly, the Total Base Offense Level is of two (2).

The United States and the defendant agree to recommend to the Court a sentence of confinement at the lower end of the applicable sentencing guideline range.

³ Defendant acknowledged discussing the content of the "Plea Agreement Supplement" with his counsel and understanding the consequences of the same. Defense counsel indicated he explained the content of the supplement and its consequences to defendant.

Criminal No. 13-804 (CCC)

Report and Recommendation

Page No. 5

The parties make no stipulation as to defendant's Criminal History Category.

The United States and the defendant agree that no further adjustment or departures to the defendant's base offense level shall be sought by the parties.

Defendant is an alien. Defendant acknowledges that pleading guilty and entering into this plea may have negative effects upon defendant's immigration status with the United States which may include removal or deportation.

As part of the written Agreement, the government, the defendant, and his counsel also agreed that they were aware that the Sentencing Guidelines are no longer mandatory and are thus considered advisory.

The government presented to this Magistrate Judge and to defendant, assisted by his counsel, a summary of the basis in fact for the offenses charged and the evidence the government had available to establish, in the event defendant had elected to go to trial, the commission of the offense, beyond a reasonable doubt. Counsel and defendant acknowledged the evidence of the government was fully disclosed to them and previously discussed between the two. Defendant was able to understand this explanation and agreed with the government's submission. Defendant was also read and shown a written document entitled "Government's Version of the Facts", which had been signed by defendant and his counsel and is attached to the Agreement, wherein the signature of counsel for the government also appears.

Criminal No. 13-804 (CCC)

Report and Recommendation

Page No. 6

Defendant was explained that the Agreement with the government does not bind any other district, except the district of Puerto Rico, and it contained all the promises, terms and conditions which defendant, his attorney and the government, have entered.

Having once more ascertained that defendant has indicated not being induced to plead guilty, and was entering such a plea because in fact he is guilty, without any promises or predictions being made as to the sentence to be imposed by the court, defendant was informed that parole has been abolished under the advisory Sentencing Reform Act and that any sentence of imprisonment would be served, without him being released on parole. Defendant was additionally informed that prior to sentence, the sentencing judge will have a pre-sentence report and that it would be made available to him, to his counsel and to the government, so that they be allowed to correct or object to any information contained in said report which was not accurate.⁴

Defendant was informed that he can appeal his conviction if he believes that his guilty plea was somehow unlawful or involuntary or if there is some other fundamental defect in the proceedings which was not waived by his guilty plea. Defendant was also informed that he has a statutory right to appeal his sentence under certain circumstances particularly if the sentence is contrary to law. With few exceptions, any notice of appeal must be filed within fourteen (14) days of judgment being entered in the case. Defendant was also apprised the right to appeal is subject to certain limitations allowed by law because his Plea Agreement contains a waiver of appeal in paragraph eight (8) which was read to

⁴ Defendant will file a motion requesting the waiver of the Pre-Sentence Investigation Report and an expedited sentencing date.

Criminal No. 13-804 (CCC)

Report and Recommendation

Page No. 7

defendant in open court. Defendant recognized having knowledge of the waiver of appeal,

discussing the same with his counsel and understanding its consequences. Defense counsel

acknowledged discussing the waiver of appeal and its consequences with his client.

Defendant was read the Information in open court and he indicated he availed

himself of the opportunity to further discuss same with his attorney and then he positively

stated that what was contained in Count One of the Information was what he had done and

to which he was pleading guilty during these proceedings. Thereafter, defendant expressed

in no uncertain terms that he agreed with the government's evidence as to his participation

in the offense. Thereupon, defendant indicated he was pleading guilty to Count One of the

Information in Criminal No. 13-804 (CCC).

This Magistrate Judge after having explained to the defendant his rights,

ascertaining that he was acting freely and voluntarily to the waiver of such rights and in his

decision of pleading guilty, with full knowledge of the consequences thereof, and there

being a basis in fact for such a plea, is recommending that a plea of guilty be entered as to

Count One of the Information in Criminal No. 13-804 (CCC).

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

The sentence will be scheduled before Hon. Carmen C. Cerezo, District Judge.

San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 6th day of November of 2013.

s/ CAMILLE L. VELEZ-RIVE CAMILLE L. VELEZ-RIVE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE